UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,603	09/26/2003	Isao Osako	243307US3	9903	
22850 7590 04/20/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			STULII, VERA		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/670,603	OSAKO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VERA STULII	1794	

	VERA STULII	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		-	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate	on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	nally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ee action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37	avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	I E below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying th	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: The proposed amendment would cancel the			1 21 41
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina	ates any time factor, since the noo	dles could be stored or	ut of water but in
noodles are at a certain temperature, which eliming a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendm	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues . (See 37 CF	dles could be stored of R 1.116 and 41.33(a)	<u>ut of water but in</u>)).
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendment. 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues . (See 37 CF 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	dles could be stored of R 1.116 and 41.33(a)	<u>ut of water but in</u>)).
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendm 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I	<u>ut of water but in</u>)). PTOL-324).
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven.	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co company.) will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b)	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendmer	ut of water but in)). PTOL-324). nt canceling the
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 periods. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co company.) will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b)	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendmer	ut of water but in)). PTOL-324). nt canceling the
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 periods. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.6 and 8.	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co company.) will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b)	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendmer	ut of water but in)). PTOL-324). nt canceling the
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 periods. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co company.) will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b)	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendmer	ut of water but in)). PTOL-324). nt canceling the
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF21. See attached Notice of Non-Company owable if submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will will will be under the submitted in a separate, with the submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered.	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendment) be entered and an expectation of Appeal will not	ut of water but in)). PTOL-324). In canceling the explanation of
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 certain temperature. Which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.6 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF21. See attached Notice of Non-Company of See attached Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appear and was not earlier presented.	dles could be stored on FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendment) timely filed amendment. If the entered and an experience of Appeal will not it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, wall and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	ut of water but in (1). PTOL-324). Int canceling the explanation of (1) be entered explanation of (2) will not be so to provide a (2).
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.6 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to other evidence fa	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF21. See attached Notice of Non-Company of See attached Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appear and was not earlier presented.	dles could be stored on FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendment) timely filed amendment. If the entered and an experience of Appeal will not it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, wall and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	ut of water but in (1). PTOL-324). Int canceling the explanation of (1) be entered explanation of (2) will not be so to provide a (2).
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.6 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER.	ates any time factor, since the nood ent raises new issues. (See 37 CF 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co 22. See attached Notice of Non-Co 22. See attached Notice of Non-Co 22. See attached Notice of submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will will will will will not be entered, or b) will not be entered, or	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendment) timely filed amendment. If the entered and an experience of Appeal will not it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, was and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) intry is below or attacher.	ut of water but in (1). PTOL-324). Int canceling the explanation of (1). Solution be explanation be solution to the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1).
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 proposed. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.6 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER.	t before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So not the status of the claims after eat does NOT place the application in	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendment) timely filed amendment. If the entered and an experience of Appeal will not it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, was and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) intry is below or attacher.	ut of water but in (1). PTOL-324). Int canceling the explanation of (1). Solution be explanation be solution to the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1).
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.6 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER. 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but for the reasons of record.	t before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So not the status of the claims after eat does NOT place the application in	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendment) timely filed amendment. If the entered and an experience of Appeal will not it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, was and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) intry is below or attacher.	ut of water but in (1). PTOL-324). Int canceling the explanation of (1). Solution be explanation be solution to the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1). Interval of the concessory and (1).
noodles are at a certain temperature, which elimina a steam chamber, and thus the proposed amendments. 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER. 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but for the reasons of record. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	t before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So not the status of the claims after eat does NOT place the application in	dles could be stored of FR 1.116 and 41.33(a) mpliant Amendment (I timely filed amendment) timely filed amendment. If the entered and an experience of Appeal will not it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, was and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) intry is below or attacher.	ut of water but in (1). PTOL-324). Int canceling the explanation of (1). E be entered enecessary and (1). It is is in the entered enecessary and (1). It is in the entered eneces end (1). It is in the entered eneces end (1).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)